## WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SKB LANSING LANDFILL

me:	/7:45 Weather Conditions:	So over cast		
		Voc		
CR La	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.8	Yes	No	Notes
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or	+)		r
	localized settlement observed on the			100
	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing			
	CCR?			[1 <sup>1</sup>
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells			
	containing CCR or within the general landfill			
	operations that represent a potential disruption			
	to ongoing COR management operations?			
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or			
	within the general landfill operations that		1 ,/	60
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of			
	the CCR management operations.			
R Fu	gitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(	4))		
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting	<del>-</del> ///	1	
	period? If answer is no, no additional		//	
	information required.			
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust			·
	suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?			
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR			
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to			
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not			
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?			
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on		++	
	landfill access toads?			•
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the		+ +	
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe			£1
	corrective action measures below.		1 1	
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control		+	
	measures effective? If the answer is no,		1	
	describe recommended changes below.			
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen	· ·		
	complaints received during the reporting		1 1	
	period? If the answer is yes, answer question		1 1	
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?			
- E				
litiona	l Notes:			
				910 - 52 dis
			•	

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10\_2015.xlsx